North Yorkshire Council

Transport, Economy, Environment and Enterprise Overview and Scrutiny Committee

12 April 2023

Preventing Flooding on Highways – Gully Clearance and Maintenance

Report of the Corporate Director - Environment

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To provide members with an update on the progress and performance to date of NY Highways, the Council's company for the operational delivery of highway services, on highway gully cleansing.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 A highway delivery options exercise was undertaken in 2018/19 to determine the mechanism for the operational delivery of highways services. In April 2019 the Executive approved the implementation of a wholly owned "Teckal company" to deliver the highways operational services. A Teckal company was recommended as the preferred way forward and, upon the approval of the Teckal company, a 5 Year Plan was developed which contained the following milestones:
 - 2019/20 and 2020/21 Development of NY Highways (years 1 and 2)
 - 2021/22 Implementation of NY Highways (year 3)
 - 2022/23 and 2023/24 Review performance of NY Highways (years 4 and 5)
- 2.2 NY Highways has since undertaken collaborative reviews with colleagues on current and future ways of working that promote innovation and efficiency throughout its services. A report was presented to this committee on 11 July 2022, outlining a number of achievements and performance milestones, which included but was not limited to:
 - Seamless mobilisation of NY Highways during Covid-19
 - Successful delivery of the winter service
 - Delivery of the Capital schemes programme
 - Storm Arwen response
 - Introduced new methods of service delivery
 - Release of 5-year carbon plan which has been approved at NYH Board meetings.
 - Secured Local Council Road Improvement Group (LCRIG) Innovation funding
 - Roll-out of the Connected Safety Net (CSN) applications for Safety and Audit
 - Incident recording tool (Accident book) that is GDPR compliant and track the incident through the investigation process and closure.
- 2.3 Another key element of that report and of particular interest to Members was the highway gully cleansing operation. The 11 July 2022 report outlined the roll-out of a pilot scheme for Kaarbontech Gully solution, key points being a new risk-based approach for gully cleaning based on previous year's data along with Environment Agency data to determine the number of gullies to be attended each year. The analysis of this data will ensure that the gully crews only need to attend gullies that require attention, therefore saving time and costs associated with gully maintenance. During the NYH mobilisation period, five new state of the art gully tankers were purchased in addition to three existing tankers that were

transferred from Ringway, there were unfortunately some reliability issues with the new gully tankers which have now been resolved and although NYH has experienced these difficulties during the Pandemic, and whilst the introduction / embedment of the Kaarbontech programme was still in its infancy and part-introduced in May 2022, NYH still managed to attend around 75% of the programmed gullies, which is comparable with the previous contractor's performance.

2.4 Ultimately, programmes of scheduled (and non-scheduled) gully cleansing contribute towards the policy objectives contained in the over-arching Highway Asset Management Plan. The relevant extract from this plan is included as Appendix A to this report.

2.5 More recent developments:

During the course of the last year, surveys have been completed in Area 1 (Richmond), Area 3 (Whitby), Area 6 (Harrogate) and Area 7 (Selby) to define their programmes of work; this was due to historic data being not sufficiently reliable. However, Area 2 (Hambleton), Area 4 (Ryedale) and Area 5 (Craven) have had programmes derived from existing data, which was sense-checked to ensure its robustness and confirm no need for additional surveys.

2.6 Current position:

2022/23 has essentially been a transition year with regard to fully deploying the new riskbased approach. Our surveys show that there are 164,171 gullies on the highway network. This risk-based programme approach identifies that 98,503 gullies need to be attended in any given year, with some higher-risk locations requiring more than one clean in that twelve month period (taking the total number of attendances to 106,711). This represents some 65% of the total attendances required. That 65% is targeted and constantly-updated by performance data that directs where those cleanses are required. Conversely, the previous cleansing regime (built up over a number of years on a combination of limited data and local knowledge) was much less-reliable and included inefficiency, with some locations being attended only to find that the gully pot was silt-free and water in the pipework running freely.

Data collected and sense-checked indicates that by the end of February 2023, the total number of gullies attended was 77,252 in 2022/23. Good progress through March, which was less-impacted by adverse weather and included some weekend / longer-day working, means that completion of the full 98,503 programme is expected on 14 April 2023. This represents 92.3% performance, and a further improvement on the 75% figure reported for last year.

2.7 Forward look / planning & programme:

By April 2024, all parts of the county will have had at least twelve months of the new Kaarbontech risk-based regime and therefore any inefficiency in attending gullies under the old regime/programme that would have been part of the 22/23 year (i.e. prior to transitioning to the risk-based programme) will be removed so those gullies that do not need attending are removed through the 'living' Kaarbontech programme. The Kaarbontech programme can also be updated to ensure non-scheduled attendances are not duplicated with a scheduled attendance a week later.

3.0 Conclusion

3.1 Ultimately, the core objective as outlined in the policy extract below is removing water from the highway network. This in turn improves safety for those travelling within and through the county and reduces risk of accidents, particularly during winter where ice is an additional hazard. Taking this risk-based approach and using empirical data, which is continually evolved, means that resource is deployed where needed as opposed to travelling to a site and finding the gully is free of silt / detritus and free-flowing. Fully embedding the

Kaarbontech programme in 2023/24 alongside the performance improvement that has been achieved in 2022/23 is commended to members to note.

5.0 Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising directly from this report as it provides an update on progress.

6.0 Legal Implications

6.1 There are no legal implications arising directly from this report as it provides an update on progress.

7.0 Equalities Implications

7.1 An initial equalities impact assessment form was completed and is included as Appendix B. The assessment of this report concluded that there is no impact on people with protected characteristics.

8.0 Environmental Impacts/Benefits including Climate Change Impact Assessment:

8.1 See Appendix C. This risk-based 5-year Carbon Plan positively contributes towards the reduction in carbon used when delivering the highways services.

9.0 Recommendation

9.1 Committee Members are requested to note the information within the report and offer comments or suggestions where necessary.

Appendices:

Appendix A – Highways Drainage System information / policy taken from Generic NYCC Highways Asset Management Plan

Appendix B – Initial equality impact assessment screening form

Appendix C – Climate Change Assessment

Background Documents:

Report to TEE O&S Committee 11 July 2022

KARL BATTERSBY Corporate Director, Environment County Hall Northallerton 24 March 2023

Report Author and Presenter: Nigel Smith, Interim Head of Operations NY Highways / Head of Highway Operations NYC

Note: Members are invited to contact the author in advance of the meeting with any detailed queries or questions.

Highways Drainage System information / policy taken from Generic NYCC Highways Asset Management Plan

Highways drainage systems

The condition of highway drainage systems can contribute to the core objectives as follows:

- Safety accumulation of water on carriageways, footways and cycleways
- Serviceability accumulation of water on carriageways, footways and cycleways
 - Sustainability polluted effluent from clearing of highway drainage affecting watercourses
 - Inadequate drainage of the highway structure will reduce effective life and increase maintenance liability.
 - Authorities have a duty to prevent nuisance to adjoining landowners by flooding and should also work with others in the wider community to minimise the future risk of flooding.

Highway drainage systems fall into the main headings of:

- culverts
- grips and ditches
- piped drainage
- pumps.

Under these headings there are two distinct categories of drainage system maintenance and drainage cleaning/cleansing.

Drainage system maintenance comprises:

- maintenance and replacement of existing carriageway drainage systems
- replacement and realignment of kerbs for drainage purposes
- maintenance and replacement of culverts and structures up to a diameter of 1.5m or a span of 1.5m (culverts and structures exceeding these measurements fall within the scope of the bridges team and their associated Highways Structures Asset Management Plan)
- all drainage works not included in reconstruction, overlay, resurfacing or surface dressing
- maintenance to pumps and sumps is carried out by specialist contractors.

The objectives of drainage system maintenance are to maintain the structural integrity of existing drainage systems to prevent accumulations of water on the carriageway, to prevent the ingress of water into the pavement structure and to maintain the highway in a safe condition for road users and pedestrians.

The Highway Gully Cleansing policy describes the cyclical maintenance of the gulley infrastructure throughout the county. The risk based approach mirrors the safety, serviceability and sustainability core objectives. The policy recognises the need for a reactive service to exist to assist in the management of highways drainage however mandates that this is a part of a whole process feeding back into the cyclical maintenance.

Any reactive maintenance is decided on a needs based approach assessed by the regular inspection of the highway, local knowledge and reports from the public.

In regard to safety, types of defects to be recorded and investigatory levels are included in the Highways Safety Inspection Manual. Culverts under roads and manholes should be inspected for structural damage or deterioration and cleaned when required. Piped drainage, soakaways and associated systems should be checked and flushed during service inspections and cleared when required.

Where a drainage system exists, it should be capable of removing water from the carriageway as it reaches a gully or grip. Where this is not the case and cleaning or jetting does not affect an improvement, the necessary remedial action should be taken as soon as possible.

For ironware comprising covers, gratings, frames and boxes set in carriageways the following condition standards apply. Manhole covers and boxes should be installed to a tolerance of +/-

5mm to the surrounding level. Gully frames and gratings should be installed level or not exceeding 10mm lower than the surrounding carriageway. When boxes, frames and covers are found to be greater than 20mm lower than the surrounding carriageway they should be re-set.

Drainage cleaning/cleansing comprises:

The testing, rodding and jetting of the highway drainage system. This includes drains, gullies, piped ditches, grips, carriageway drainage on structures and drainage of subways. The cleaning of drainage installed outside the highway boundary under licence or easement should be included. The cleaning of gullies and catchpits or manholes which are the responsibility of the highway authority. As a guide, this is all surface water drainage the sole purpose of which is to remove water from the highway; however, this is not always the case. If in addition the drainage system carries roof water or water from private properties, that system is the responsibility of other authorities. In these cases, the highway authority is responsible for the gully and gully connections only.

The maintenance of ditches and grips through the removal of silt, vegetation growth and damage to allow free passage of water from the highway. The maintenance should be confined to those ditches which are the responsibility of the highway authority (in the main, ditches are the responsibility of the adjoining landowner). Section 100 of the Highway Act 1980 empowers authorities to keep open ditches on land adjoining the highway.

The objectives of drainage cleaning/cleansing are to prevent water penetrating the foundations of carriageways and footways, to remove detritus from gullies or catchpits to ensure the rapid removal of water from the road surface, to maintain free flow conditions in all open channels and grips and to maintain self-cleansing flows in the drainage pipes, catchpits and outfalls.

The policy is to carry out the required amount of drainage cleansing and cleaning commensurate with the objectives and needs. They are assessed through routine highway inspections, awareness of frequent flooding at a particular location, reports of drainage defects from gully maintenance operatives and complaints of malfunction. Types of defects to be recorded and investigatory levels are included in the Highways Safety Inspection Manual.

Grip clearing should be commenced after the last grass cut of the year and completed if possible before the onset of winter. Kerb offlets can sometimes be neglected and should be jetted as necessary to ensure efficient working.

Areas at risk of flooding should be identified and recorded within the Highway Asset Management System. Inspection of these sites will form part of the safety inspection regime. Supplementary checks should be undertaken during periods of heavy rainfall as resources allow.

Gullies are cleansed according to their associated schedule, which is based on the age of the gully, the location. Non-functioning or damaged gullies are recorded by the contractor and reported to the client for further investigation and remedy.

Priority is given to inspecting and cleansing sections of system which pose a high risk of flooding or disruption to the network. During all drainage investigation records of the system must be compiled and added to the inventory.

Gullies should be over filled when emptied to ensure that they are clear. If not, the unit should be recorded for jetting. No more than 50mm of material should remain in the unit before it is recharged with clean water.

The frequency of cleansing of oil interceptors will depend on their design and location and will need particular consideration on a site specific basis. Material arising from all road drainage emptying and cleansing operations has potential implications for pollution and should be disposed of correctly in accordance with the Environment Agency requirements.

Initial equality impact assessment screening form

This form records an equality screening process to determine the relevance of equality to a proposal, and a decision whether or not a full EIA would be appropriate or proportionate.

	NYC Enviro				
Service area	Highways a	nd Transportation			
Proposal being screened		Report on the progress and performance of North Yorkshire Council's / NY Highways' gully cleansing			
Officer(s) carrying out screening	Nigel Smith	– NYC / NY Highway	S		
What are you proposing to do?	and perform	To provide members with an update on the progress and performance of North Yorkshire Council's / NY Highways' gully cleansing operation			
Why are you proposing this? What the desired outcomes?	progress of There are n	is an update for memb the above for the 202 o outcomes other tha re aware of how the T	2/23 financial year. n ensuring that		
Does the proposal involve a significant commitment or removal resources? Please give details.	of No				
 Does the proposal relate to fur important? Do different groups have differ 					
important?	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful	riences in the area the likely to be an adver I EIA should be carr	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is consider have ticked 'Don't know/no info avaits proportionate. You are advised to the second second	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver I EIA should be carr	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in any Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is consider have ticked 'Don't know/no info avaits proportionate. You are advised to doubt. 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E	riences in the area the likely to be an adver I EIA should be carr iquality rep for advic	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this se if you are in any		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avaits proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver I EIA should be carr iquality rep for advic	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in any Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is considered 'Don't know/no info avaits proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver ll EIA should be carr cquality rep for advice for adverse impact No	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in any Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver I EIA should be carr iquality rep for advice for adverse impact	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in any Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avaits proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver ll EIA should be carr cquality rep for advice for adverse impact	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex Race Sexual orientation 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver l EIA should be carr iquality rep for advice for adverse impact No V	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex Race Sexual orientation Gender reassignment 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver ll EIA should be carr iquality rep for advic for adverse impact No V V	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex Race Sexual orientation Gender reassignment Religion or belief 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver l EIA should be carr cquality rep for advice for adverse impact No V V V V	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex Race Sexual orientation Gender reassignment Religion or belief Pregnancy or maternity 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver l EIA should be carr cquality rep for advic for adverse impact v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		
 important? Do different groups have differ to? If for any characteristic it is conside have ticked 'Don't know/no info avais proportionate. You are advised to doubt. Protected characteristic Age Disability Sex Race Sexual orientation Gender reassignment Religion or belief 	rent needs or expe ered that there is ailable', then a ful o speak to your E Potential	riences in the area the likely to be an adver l EIA should be carr cquality rep for advice for adverse impact v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	e proposal relates rse impact or you ied out where this e if you are in an Don't know/No		

	1				
People in rural areas		\checkmark			
People on a low income		\checkmark			
Carer (unpaid family or friend)		✓			
Does the proposal relate to an area	This is a memb	ers rep	ort on the	perform	ance of
where there are known	NYHighways. There are no proposals that would				at would
inequalities/probable impacts (e.g.	impact on peop	le with	protected	characte	eristics
disabled people's access to public					
transport)? Please give details.					
Will the proposal have a significant	No				
effect on how other organisations					
operate? (e.g. partners, funding criteria,					
etc.). Do any of these organisations					
support people with protected					
characteristics? Please explain why you					
have reached this conclusion.					
Decision (Please tick one option)	EIA not		Continue	e to full	
	relevant or	✓	EIA:		
	proportionate:		L		
Reason for decision	This is a report for information. There are no				
	adverse impact on any of the protected				
	characteristics.				
Signed (Assistant Director or equivalent)	Barrie Mason				
Date	30 March 2023				
	50 March 2023				

Climate change impact assessment

The purpose of this assessment is to help us understand the likely impacts of our decisions on the environment of North Yorkshire and on our aspiration to achieve net carbon neutrality by 2030, or as close to that date as possible. The intention is to mitigate negative effects and identify projects which will have positive effects.

This document should be completed in consultation with the supporting guidance. The final document will be published as part of the decision making process and should be written in Plain English.

If you have any additional queries which are not covered by the guidance please email climatechange@northyorks.gov.uk

Please note: You may not need to undertake this assessment if your proposal will be subject to any of the following: Planning Permission Environmental Impact Assessment Strategic Environmental Assessment

However, you will still need to summarise your findings in in the summary section of the form below.

Please contact <u>climatechange@northyorks.gov.uk</u> for advice.

Title of proposal	Report on the progress and performance of North Yorkshire Council's / NY Highways' gully cleansing operation
Brief description of proposal	Update to TEEE Scrutiny Cttee on the above
Directorate	Environment
Service area	H&T
Lead officer	Barrie Mason
Names and roles of other people involved in	Nigel Smith, Head of Highway Operations
carrying out the impact assessment	
Date impact assessment started	24 March 2023

Options appraisal

Were any other options considered in trying to achieve the aim of this project? If so, please give brief details and explain why alternative options were not progressed.

Other delivery options were included, however the Kaarbontech solution was deemed optimal based on optimisation of the gully cleansing service; Kaarbontech are an industry leader with over 50 local authorities having adopted their programme

What impact will this proposal have on council budgets? Will it be cost neutral, have increased cost or reduce costs?

Please explain briefly why this will be the result, detailing estimated savings or costs where this is possible.

By only attending those gullies that need cleaning, and doing so in a programmed manner, costs will be reduced

How will this proposal in the environment? N.B. There may be short negative impact and lon positive impact. Please potential impacts over the of a project and provide explanation.	t term ger term include all he lifetime	Positive impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	No impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant) Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	 Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale? Where possible/relevant please include: Changes over and above business as usual Evidence or measurement of effect Figures for CO₂e Links to relevant documents 	Explain how you plan to mitigate any negative impacts.	Appendix C Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
gas emissions e.g. reducing emissions from travel, increasing energy efficiencies etc.from travel from construction Emissions from construction Emissions from running	Emissions from travel	x		Fewer unnecessary journeys – will be progressed as part of NYH carbon capture tool		Ongoing update of system and minimising attendances to only those required
	Emissions from construction					
	Emissions from running of buildings					
	Other					
Minimise waste: Reduce, recycle and compost e.g. of single use plastic	,					
Reduce water consumption		x		Reduces overall amount of water required to flush drainage systems by only doing those necessary		
Minimise pollution (inclue water, light and noise)	ding air, land,	x				

						Appendix C
How will this proposal impact on the environment? N.B. There may be short term negative impact and longer term positive impact. Please include all potential impacts over the lifetime of a project and provide an explanation.	Positive impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	No impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	 Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale? Where possible/relevant please include: Changes over and above business as usual Evidence or measurement of effect Figures for CO₂e Links to relevant documents 	Explain how you plan to mitigate any negative impacts.	Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
Ensure resilience to the effects of climate change e.g. reducing flood risk, mitigating effects of drier, hotter summers	x			by maximising efficiency of drainage systems highway surface water flooding is reduced		
Enhance conservation and wildlife						
Safeguard the distinctive characteristics, features and special qualities of North Yorkshire's landscape						
Other (please state below)	x			Reduced surface and standing water reduces likelihood of accelerated deterioration of highway infrastructure		

Are there any recognised good practice environmental standards in relation to this proposal? If so, please detail how this proposal meets those standards.

Summary Summarise the findings of your impact assessment, including impacts, the recommendation in relation to addressing impacts, including any legal advice, and next steps. This summary should be used as part of the report to the decision maker.

By only attending those gullies that need cleaning, and doing so in a programmed manner, costs will be reduced.

Sign off section

This climate change impact assessment was completed by:

Name	Nigel Smith	
Job title	Head of Highway Operations	
Service area	Environment	
Directorate	H&T	
Signature	N Smith	
Completion date	24 March 2023	

Authorised by relevant Assistant Director (signature): Barrie Mason

Date:30 March 2023